TO:

The Honorable Chair and Members of The School Board of Miami-Dade

County, Florida

FROM:

Alberto M. Carvalho, Superintendent of Schools

SUBJECT:

WITHDRAWAL OF AGENDA ITEM E-67, REQUEST FOR AUTHORIZATION TO AWARD REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS (RFP) #51-MM10, SELECTED THIRD PARTY ADMINISTRATION FOR

EMPLOYEE BENEFITS

The attached Agenda Item E-67 is being withdrawn from the November 21, 2012, Board Meeting in order to finalize contract terms. It is anticipated that this item will be brought back to the Board Meeting of December 5, 2012.

Any questions should be referred to Dr. Richard H. Hinds, Associate Superintendent and Chief Financial Officer, Financial Services, at 305-995-1225, or Mr. Scott B. Clark, Risk and Benefits Officer, Office of Risk and Benefits Management, at 305-995-7155.

AMC:gt M483

Attachment

CC:

Superintendent's Cabinet School Board Attorney

Financial Services Richard H. Hinds, Chief Financial Officer

SUBJECT:

REQUEST FOR AUTHORIZATION TO AWARD REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS (RFP) #051-MM10, SELECTED THIRD PARTY ADMINISTRATION FOR EMPLOYEE BENEFITS

COMMITTEE:

INNOVATION, EFFICIENCY & GOVERNMENTAL

RELATIONS

LINK TO STRATEGIC

FRAMEWORK:

FINANCIAL EFFICIENCY/STABILITY

At the Board meeting of May 16, 2012, the Board authorized the Superintendent to issue Request For Proposals (RFP) #051-MM10, Selected Third Party Administration of Employee Benefits. The existing contract with Fringe Benefits Management Company expires January 1, 2013.

In total, three proposals were received in response to RFP# 051-MM10 at the regularly scheduled bid opening on June 28, 2012. Two proposers, FBMC Benefits Management, Inc. (FBMC), Tallahassee, Florida, and Total Administrative Services Corporation (TASC), Madison, Wisconsin, provided proposals for all services sought in the RFP, including insurance brokerage services for spring benefits enrollment. One proposer, Aon Consulting, Inc./Univers Workplace Solutions, Miami, Florida, provided a proposal on only the brokerage services.

Pursuant to School Board Policy 6332 - Professional Service Contracts for Insurance or Risk Management Programs—Policy, the Superintendent's Ad-Hoc Committee met to review received proposals on August 30, 2012. At that time, the committee reviewed and compared the proposals received from FBMC and TASC with respect to administrative services. The committee also reviewed and compared all three proposals with respect to the brokerage services component.

The first review compared the proposals received from FBMC and TASC. Ms. Kathy Gordon of Siver Insurance Consultants, Inc. (Siver), the District's independent risk and insurance management consultant, presented information to the committee indicating that the minimum qualifications stated in the RFP were met by both proposers except for the minimum qualification requiring the following as stated in RFP# 051-MM10, SECTION I – Page 2, MINIMUM QUALIFICATIONS:

E-67
WITHDRAWN
11/15/2012

In order to be considered, a proposer must, on the Proposal Return Date for receipt of proposals by the Board for this RFP, meet the following minimum criteria: If proposing to provide administrative services (A-F):

 Must provide a copy of the current State of Florida licensure as a Third Party Administrator.

FBMC's proposal contained a copy of their State of Florida Third Party Administration license. TASC did not provide a copy of a license and stated in their proposal:

TASC is a licensed TPA in the State of WI as our operations are fully based in the State. Please see Exhibit I TASC TPA License.

The District's Consultant informed the committee that when it was discovered that TASC had not provided a Florida TPA license, TASC was contacted and TASC responded that no requirement existed that they hold a Florida TPA license.

Since there was a minimum qualification in the RFP that was not met by TASC, the committee voted unanimously to discontinue further review of the TASC proposal since they had not met the required minimum qualifications of holding a Florida TPA license. As was addressed during the committee meeting, any potential proposer had the opportunity to state their objections to the RFP, including minimum qualifications, as outlined in the RFP (SECTION II – Page 3 WRITTEN QUESTIONS/CLARIFICATIONS). No objections to the specifications in the RFP were filed within the allotted timeframe.

The committee continued to review the FBMC proposal for administrative services, then reviewed the three proposals for insurance brokerage services for certain existing voluntary insurance coverage (Universal Life, Critical Illness/Cancer and Long Term Care Insurance) offered to employees at their own cost. Commission rates for existing products were very similar in all three received proposals; however, the proposal from FBMC stated that the insurance brokerage services (Section G) were not severable from the administrative services contained in Sections A, B, C and E. As such, the committee voted unanimously to accept the proposals submitted by FBMC, but instructed Mr. Scott B. Clark, Risk and Benefits Officer and Ms. Kathy Gordon, Siver, to enter into negotiations with FBMC in an effort to obtain rate reductions and report back to the committee with the results of such negotiations.

The results of such negotiations were provided to the committee members who unanimously supported the recommendations to award the entire contract to FBMC. Following is the rate structure for service categories A-F:

Initial Proposed Rate	Negotiated Final Rate	Savings Over
1/1/2013-12/31/2015	1/1/2013-12/31/2015	Current Rate
\$4.41 PEPM*	\$4.15 PEPM*	\$0.29/6.5%

^{*}Per Employee Per Month

Based upon 36,911 eligible employees, the proposed rate reduction will take the annual cost from \$1,953,330 to \$1,838,168, with the estimated three-year cost being \$5,514,504. Additionally, FBMC offered services not outlined in the RFP including dependent verification audit, comprehensive portfolio review of voluntary products; and a communication and educational program for wellness over the initial three-year contract period.

Service category G (Insurance Brokerage Services) pricing remained unchanged from the proposal and is recommended at the following commission rates:

Benefit/Service	<u>Insurer</u>	Proposed Commissions	
		1 st Year	Renewal
Universal Life	ING/Trustmark	90%/100%	5%
Critical Illness	ING/Trustmark	70%/80%	10%/11%
Cancer	Trustmark	65%	12%
Long Term Care	UNUM/US Care	N/A	10%/0%

Revenue from the sale/renewal of these products in calendar year 2011 was \$2,787,680. The RFP outlined that the District envisions these products being offered to employees for spring enrollments of 2013 and 2014 with a re-marketing of all products to take place by 2015.

RECOMMENDED: That The School Board of Miami-Dade County, Florida:

1. award RFP #051-MM10, Selected Third Party Administration for Employee Benefits to FBMC Benefits Management, Inc. for administrative services (A-F) at a rate of \$4.15 per employee per month effective January 1, 2013, for a three-year period with the ability to renew for two additional one-year periods subject to satisfactory services and subject to annual negotiation of fees; and

2. award RFP #051-MM10, Selected Third Party Administration for Employee Benefits to FBMC Benefits Management, Inc., for insurance brokerage services (G) at the following commission rates, effective January 1, 2013 for a two-year period, with commission rates for 2015 and any further extensions, not to exceed the five year period (2013-2017), to be brought back to the Board for approval following re-marketing of the current spring enrollment products:

Benefit/Service	<u>Insurer</u> C	ommissions
	<u>1</u> 5	st <u>Year//Renewal</u>
Universal Life	ING/Trustmark	90%/100%//5%
Critical Illness	ING/Trustmark	70%/80%//11%
Cancer	Trustmark	65%//12%
Long Term Care	UNUM/US Care	e N/A//10%/0%